

P. 237

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY


From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
MARK FRIEDMAN
7 JABOTINSKY ST.
RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL 52520

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)



Applicant's or agent's file reference 1582/6		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 10 JUL 2006
FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. PCT/IL05/00354	International filing date (day/month/year) 29 March 2005 (29.03.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29 March 2004 (29.03.2004)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC: G06F 15/173 (2006.01) USPC: 709/226		
Applicant CYBER-ARK SOFTWARE LTD		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201	Date of completion of this opinion 23 June 2006 (23.06.2006)	Authorized officer Saleh Najjar Telephone No. (571) 272-3988
--	---	--

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IL05/00354

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

- ☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed
☐ a translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- ☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- ☐ on paper
☐ in electronic form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/IL05/00354

Box-No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>NONE</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-20</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>NONE</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-20</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-20</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-20 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Dahlin et al (US Patent Publication NO. 20020184403 A1).

Regarding claims 1, 7, and 14 Dahlin et al teaches a data processing engine, said data access engine located in a first data processing machine and capable of communication with at least one pseudo server located in a second machine (0033;0046);
Wherein a request for a subset of data stored in the data access engine must be routed through said at least one pseudo server (0046).

Regarding claims 2, 8, 15 and 20 Dahlin et al teaches a data access engine wherein said second data processing machine resides within a LAN in which the data access engine resides (0033).

Regarding claims 3, 9, and 16 Dahlin et al teaches a data access engine wherein said second data processing machine resides outside of a LAN in which the data access engine resides (0033).

Regarding claims 4, 10, and 17 Dahlin et al teaches a data access engine wherein said communication occurs across a content filtering device deployed between the data access engine and said pseudo server (0013; 0033).

Regarding claims 5, 11, and 18 Dahlin et al teaches a data access engine wherein said at least one pseudo server includes at least two pseudo servers (0033; 0046)

Regarding claims 6, 12, and 19 Dahlin et al teaches a data access engine wherein retrieval of data by the data access engine is further restricted by network vaults (0033).

Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.